## Power management

IRQ next event prediction - Where are we?

Daniel Lezcano

## Agenda

- Introduction
- Energy / Idle states / Break even
- Finding the sleep duration
- The sources of wake up
- The governor and the heuristics
- Energy consumption and governors
- Changing the approach
- Measuring the events
- Predict the next event
- A dedicated embedded governor
- Comparisons
- Conclusion









## Computing the target residency

• Formula to compute the minimum residency time

$$time = \frac{Widle2 - Widle1}{Pidle1 - Pidle2}$$

- Demonstration available on the <u>PMWG wiki page</u>
- Alternatively, empiric approach presented at <u>HKG18</u>

#### Idle states characteristics

Idle states must be described accurately

- Target residencies
  - Usually very approximate values
- Exit latencies per OPP
  - Only worst case is provided
- Power at the idle state per OPP
  - These are not available

## Choosing the idle state

- Take decision on which idle state to choose
- Based on past events
- Try to predict the future
- Algorithm must be simple

## Sleep durations

- Origin of the wake up source
- Statistics on the sleep durations



## Problematic

- As we read the sleep duration, the source of wake up can be anything
  - $\circ$   $\,$  How do we sort out this ?
  - We try to predict the scheduler behavior
  - We try to predict the interruption with the noise of the scheduling + timers
- That can work only if there are periodic wakes up
  - Specific workload, especially IOs

## Experiments with governors

Let's create dummy governors and compare them to the reference: the menu governor

- Random governor: Randomly choose an idle state
- Modulo governor: Always +1 on the selected state modulo number of states
- Deepest governor: Always choose the deepest idle state
- Shallowest governor: Always choose the shallowest idle state

#### Jankbench / image list vs governors



USPM III, PISa - May 21th, 2019

## Jankbench / edit text vs governors



USPM III, PISa - May 21th, 2019

## Exoplayer audio vs governors (no frame dropped)



USPM III, PISa - May 21th, 2019

## Exoplayer video vs governors (no frame dropped)



## Observations

- Going always for the deepest idle state kill performance and consume more energy
- "Randomly" choosing idle state gives same or better results than the menu governor
- Using the shallowest idle state saves up to 8% of energy with audio and video
- Using the shallowest idle state reduces the frame rendering duration up to 58% with an energy drop of 8%
- What is going on ?

## What is going on? (Jankbench test1)



	<b>c</b> •
۱۸/	th.
v v	

Γ					Tim	ie Line							
	5	72071			10401	.339253	<idle>-0 cpu idle</idle>						
4	PU 0		<pre>course</pre>										
0	PU 1												
d	PU 2												
0	PU 3	<idle>0</idle>	<ide>0</ide>	11 1	1	11	<ide>0</ide>		I				
1	PU 4			<pre>cidle&gt;0</pre>	<pre>cidle&gt;-0</pre>				<u> </u>				
0	PU 5						cpu_tate	cpu_die					
0	PU 6												
0	PU 7		1										

## What is going on? (exoplayer ogg)





## What is going on ?

- EAS scheduler behaves differently regarding the idle states:
  - $\circ \quad \text{Race to idle} \quad$
  - $\circ \quad {\sf Tasks \ are \ packed}$
- The menu governor is doing a lot of mispredictions

Wake up sources



Wake up sources



#### How behave devices?



#### How behave devices?



• Graphics

Console

#### How behaves the idle task rescheduling



#### How behave the timers?

That's a good question, the answer is "as expected"

We always know the next event for the timer

## Observations

- Devices can have periodic interrupt
  - Periodicity in the intervals
  - Periodicity of a group of intervals
- Idle task rescheduling is almost random
  - $\circ$  Based on scheduled work
  - Tasks taking locks
  - $\circ \quad {\rm Tasks} \ {\rm blocked} \ {\rm on} \ {\rm IO}$
- Timers give an accurate information for the next wakeup
- Side note: On mobile, interrupts are usually pinned on CPU0

## Hypothesis

- Why not predict for each wake up source ?
  - Per interrupt
  - Per need\_resched duration
  - Make scheduler idle wise
  - Timers are predictable

## Wake up sources



## Predicting the interrupts from devices

- Store the interrupts <irq,timestamp> when they happen
- At idle time, look at the interrupt history and compute intervals
- Store the interrupt intervals in a log2 array
- Use a fast algorithm based on array suffix
- Use the exponential moving average for similar past events

#### At runtime

#### Store the interrupts and timestamp



#### At idle time

#### Discretization of intervals

- High number of different values
- Time events: the higher the interval, the lower the precision
- Group the intervals per range
  - $\circ \quad [0\,,\,2[ \ [2\,,\,4[ \ [4\,,\,8[ \ [8\,,\,16[ \ [16\,,\,32[\,...\,[2^{31},\,\infty\,[$
  - $\circ$  An array of 32 values
- Log2 is fast and has dedicated ASM function

#### Compute intervals on log2 basis



## Tracking signals with EMA

- Each intervals is separately tracked with exponential moving average
- Exponential moving average:
  - Stock value tracking
  - Very fast
  - Tweakable via alphas



## Store in EMA array



## Array suffix

- Data structure for full text indices search, data compression algorithm, bibliometrics, combinatorics on words, bioinformatics
- Build an array of suffix of the terms:
  - Eg. banana has the suffixes : banana, anana, nana, ana, na, a
- Per irq tables have suite of numbers between <1, 32> resulting from log2

## Store in EMA array



## Array suffix

- An interrupt is predictable if there is a **repetition** 
  - We need to find the period of this repetition
- Experiment showed a max period of 5 for repeating patterns
  - We assume pattern repeating 3 times has a strong period
  - We take the last  $3 \times 5 = 15$  events
- Example with MMC:

Interval	1385	212240	1240	1386	1386	1386	214415	1236	1384	1386	1387	214276	1234	1384	1388
log2	10	15	10	10	10	10	15	10	10	10	10	15	10	10	10
Max period = 5															

Last 3x5 = 15 events

• Other example with console

Int	erval	4	5	112	4	6	4	110	4	4	5	112	4	7	4	110
lo	og2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7
					:			·								
	Perio	bc														
	5		2	2	7	2	2									
	4		2	2	7	2										
	3		2	2	7											
	2		2	2												

Interval	4	5	112	4	6	4	110	4	4	5	112	4	7	4	110
log2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7
p=5	2	2	7	2	2	2	2								
p=4	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7
p=3	2	2	7	2	2	7									
p=2	2	2	2												

Interval	4	5	112	4	6	4	110	4	4	5	112	4	7	4	110
log2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7
p=4	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7

last pattern length

period

Next event index = last pattern length % period Next event index = 3 % 4 = 3

• Other example with console

Interval	4	5	112	4	6	4	110	4	4	5	112	4	7	4	110
log2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7
p=4	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7
period Next event index = last pattern length % period Next event index = $3 \% 4 = 3$														ength	
		suffix p	o=4	2	2	7	2								

• Other example with console

	5	112	4	6	4	110	4	4	5	112	4	7	4	110
log2 2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7
<b>p=4</b> 2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	7
period	suffix p	Next e Next e o=4	vent ir vent ir 2	ndex = ndex = 2	last pc 3 % 4 7	attern le = 3 ↓ 2	ength	% perio	od Ible	ema[2	2] = 4	last pc	ittern l	ength

## Embedded cpuidle governor

- Makes use of the interrupt prediction
- Clearly identifies the source of wake up in the prediction path
- Designed to work with the embedded systems, especially mobile
  - Tweaked for mobile workload (video, audio, benchmarks)
  - Iteratively improved with non-regression testing for existing and defined workloads
  - Avoids to use biased heuristics
- How does it compare with the existing ?

## Selection latency



cpu@opp

## Selection latency

- Higher latency on the CPU with the interrupts
  - Usually CPU0
- Other CPUs have a negligible latency
- The higher the interrupts number, the higher the load, the lower the idle duration
  Do we really care about these latencies?
- Some part of the prediction can be still optimized
  - Suffixes on the fly, unpredictable interrupts discarded from the prediction, etc ...

#### Measurements - Jankbench test1



## Measurements - Jankbench test2



#### Measurements - exoplayer (ogg)



#### Measurements - exoplayer (mov)



## Conclusion

- Splitting different wake sources signals to predict works
  - Despite the simplicity of the actual governor we do better predictions
  - Better performances for better energy
- There is still room for more improvements on the mbed governor
  - Identified workload (expecting more than 8% energy improvement for ogg/video)
  - Identified weaknesses in the prediction (need\_resched)
  - Scheduler interactions (idle wise)
- Next steps
  - Put noisy wakeup sources apart
  - Offer an API to drivers to register their next interrupt event

# Thank you

Develop & Prototype on the Latest Arm Technology